

TO CORRESPONDENTS.
Desiring to make the JOURNAL the mouthpiece of the people, the Editor cordially invites correspondence from all portions of the State.

For President:
Samuel J. Tilden,
OF NEW YORK.

For Vice-President:
Thomas A. Hendricks,
OF INDIANA.

For Governor:
Zebulon B. Vance,
OF MECKLENBURG.

For Lieutenant-Governor:
Thomas J. Jarvis,
OF PITTS.

For Secretary of State:
JOSEPH A. ENGLEHARDT,
OF NEW HANOVER.

For Attorney General:
THOMAS S. KENAN,
OF WILSON.

For Treasurer:
J. M. WORTH,
OF RANDOLPH.

For Auditor:
SAMUEL L. LOVE,
OF HAYWOOD.

For Supt. of Public Instruction:
J. C. SCARBOROUGH,
OF JOHNSTON.

Presidential Electors for the State at Large.
DANIEL G. FOWLER, of Wake.
J. M. LEACH, of Davidson.

District Electors.
1st District—**LOUIS C. LATHAM,** of Wake.
2d "—**JOHN F. WOOTEN,** of Lenoir.
3d "—**J. C. MURRAY,** of Cumberland.
4th "—**F. H. HARRIS,** of Wake.
5th "—**F. C. ROBERTS,** of Davidson.
6th "—**R. B. WARRING,** of Mecklenburg.
7th "—**W. M. H. SMITH,** of Johnston.
8th "—**ALPHONSE D. AVERY,** of Burke.

FOR CONGRESS.
1st District—**JESSE J. YEATES,** of Hertford.
2d "—**ALFRED M. WADDELL,** of New Hanover.
3d "—**JOSEPH J. DAVIS,** of Franklin.
4th "—**ALFRED M. SCALES,** of Rockingham.
5th "—**WALTER L. STEELE,** of Richmond.
6th "—**WILLIAM M. ROBBINS,** of Iredell.
7th "—**ROBERT B. VANCE,** of Buncombe.

ANNOUNCEMENT.
On the 16th day of November, 1876, and in the city of Raleigh, the undersigned will commence the publication of

THE OBSERVER,
a daily and weekly Democratic newspaper.

Of long experience in their profession as editors, respectively of the Fayetteville Observer and the Wilmington Journal, they do not affect to doubt the soundness of the general judgment which assigns them ability to furnish a newspaper suited to the needs and adapted to the tastes of the people of North Carolina. Differing in politics in the olden time, there was never a difference between the Observer and the Journal in zeal for the interests and honor of North Carolina. To promote the one, and to uphold and add to the other will be the object of the Observer now.

Of very decided opinions on questions of public interest, and apt to give those opinions plain expression, they deem it the first duty of a newspaper to furnish its readers with the information necessary to the formation of their opinions—to publish all the news; and their purpose is to make THE OBSERVER now, as of old, a truthful, accurate, condensed history of the times in which we live. It was thus that "the old Observer" won its hold upon the people of North Carolina, enjoying the affection of its party friends, receiving the respect and confidence of its bitter political foes, and commanding in its comparatively isolated location a circulation larger than has ever been attained by any other North Carolina newspaper, and it is thus, by like dignity, and fairness that the editors of THE OBSERVER, transferred to the State Capital, hope it will deserve, and soon equal, and then surpass, its former circulation and prosperity.

It will be their high aim to deserve the public confidence by earnest efforts to promote the public welfare, first and foremost of North Carolina, next of all the Southern States, and finally, and through these, of the whole Union. They think that this can only be effected by the prevalence of Democratic principles, and the dismissal of the Radical party from the places and power which they have so greatly abused, and under whose baleful rule the South has been outraged and the whole country has been impoverished and disgraced.

PETER M. HALE,
W. L. SAUNDERS.

Subscription Rates.
Daily Observer, one year, \$8 00
Weekly Observer, six months, 4 00
Weekly Observer, one year, 7 00
Weekly Observer, six months, 4 00
All communications should be addressed, until further notice, to
W. L. SAUNDERS,
Wilmington, N. C.

TO THE VOTERS OF NEW HANOVER COUNTY.

The Democratic County Executive Committee were authorized by the County Convention to nominate a county ticket, should it be considered advisable. Believing the necessity for such a ticket to be imperative, and that the good government of the county requires it, we have made the following nominations:

For the Senate, New Hanover and Pender—David G. Worth.

For the House of Commons—John W. Dunham, E. L. Perce.

For Sheriff—Owen Fennell.

For Register of Deeds—J. D. Love.

For County Treasurer—Berry Glover.

For Coroner—H. A. Bag.

For Surveyor—John K. Brown.

For County Commissioners—Alfred Martin, G. W. Williams, James Madden, H. Volters, David S. Sanders.

We do not hesitate to declare this ticket worthy of the earnest support of every voter in the county. The names are a guarantee of good, honest, prudent management of county affairs, and we earnestly appeal to every voter, white and colored, to support them. We are all alike interested in our home affairs, and should use every effort to place our county under the control of men who will administer the government fairly and economically, and with equal justice to every citizen. We ask the voters to look at this ticket candidly, and to elect this ticket. We have made it worthy of your support. Will you refuse to confirm it on the day of election? We trust not. We appeal to your judgment, your duty, your honor.

For the committee.
C. H. ROBINSON, Chm'n.

SENATE AND HOUSE.

A significant evidence of discouragement in the Presidential race on the part of the Republicans, says the Boston Post, is the desperate earnestness with which they have taken to ciphering upon the Congressional probabilities since the October elections. Their journals are as counselors in a mild way by constantly reiterating that if the Democrats succeed in electing their Executive, the Republicans are sure to have a working majority in the Senate, with a fair prospect of also capturing the House. It may be cruel to carry the attack upon the retreating Radicals into what they vainly imagine is their Gibraltar, but there is no rashness in it and they have invited the assault. The people are marching irresistibly onward, determined to carry every salient point and remove from power those who have so grossly abused their advantages of position. It is almost as certain that the Senate of the United States will become Democratic during the next Administration as it is that the Republic will continue, and this the figures plainly prove. The present Senate shows the following distribution: Republicans 44, Democrats 29, and one vacancy. There are 27 Republicans in 19 Democrats to hold over March 4, 1877, while the following Republican States either have chosen or are to choose successors to the outgoing Senators: Maine, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, Rhode Island, South Carolina, (double), Wisconsin, Illinois and Colorado (2). The Democratic States are Arkansas, Mississippi, Texas, New Jersey, Alabama, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, North Carolina, Oregon, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia, (2) and Louisiana (2). This gives in the Forty-Fifth Congress 41 Republicans and 25 Democrats. In 1879 there will be another distribution. In the place of outgoing Republicans the following States, in all human probability, will return Democrats: Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, (Morton), New York (Conkling), South Carolina (Patterson), and Oregon. Kentucky, Maryland, Missouri and North Carolina will fill Democratic vacancies with Democrats. The Republican Senators from California, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, New Hampshire, Ohio (Sherman), Pennsylvania (Cameron), Vermont, Wisconsin and Nevada, whose terms expire then, will probably be for the most part succeeded by men of their own political complexion. But at the latest calculation there will be a Democratic majority in the Senate twice as large as the Republicans have there now.

The calculations of the Republicans have gone even more widely astray on the prospective composition of the House for the next two years. Our Sunday contemporary, the Gazette, looks forward to victory in the lower branch of the National Legislature, on the strength of the Congressional gains made by the party in Ohio and the gerrymandered districts in Indiana. But the calculation is based on an estimate of only sixty Democratic majority in the present House, while the official figures, counting the Independents as Democrats or Republicans, according to the party with which they usually vote, is 181 of the former and 111 of the latter, leaving a round Democratic majority of 70 to reduce below a minimum. All the Republican estimates assume their gains in a ratio proportionate to Indiana in other States, and notably in Massachusetts, where the Democracy stand as good a chance to gain a Congressman as to lose one. Pennsylvania and Illinois are also set down for similar wonderful gains, but the attitude of the Germans in the latter State will protect the Democrats from the inroads, which the Republicans hope to make in their delegation. The New York Evening Post gives a very elaborate table of estimates and comparisons, allowing the Democrats their undisputed own grudgingly, and claiming for the other side gains which the results will not confirm, and the best it can show, even on a paper basis, is 145 Republicans to 148 Democrats. This has to include an estimate of gain in Wisconsin, where the returns will show Republican loss, if there is any change. The Democrats, however,

must not throw any districts away, in reliance upon their present strength. The Republicans are desperate. They know the Presidency is lost to them, and they will work without scruple or fatigue to secure the Congress. Let the Reform ranks maintain their unbroken front and march to victory all along the line.

WHAT THE RADICALS HAVE TO SAY ABOUT THE REV. MR. BAILEY.

We copy the following article, says the Pease Courier, from the Spirit of the South, as a specimen of the kind of editorials which of late appear in that partisan sheet. Are the editors of that paper mad, drunk or crazy? We ask our readers, if they every read as gross an attack upon any man, especially a minister of the gospel in good standing in his own church and honored with a position so elevated as the principal editor of its church organ?

Mr. Bailey, as it will be seen in another column, communicated the revelations Holden made to him a year ago to Dr. Andrews of Shelby.

The effort to compare Holden's veracity with Bailey's is preposterous. Holden has been convicted of perjury, and his testimony would have no weight in any court of the State. He has been denounced in this county by such men as Gen. Dockery and Col. O. H. Donkory, and the General in his day repeatedly said that Holden was the biggest liar in the State.

Holden has been a Methodist, an Episcopalian and is now a Baptist, and we fear that in none of these churches was he a member of sin.

What does the Spirit of the South expect to accomplish by such an abusive article? But it is only carrying out the example set by the leaders of its party. Bob Ingersoll attacks Christianity in a wholesale manner. Blaine denounced one of the leading churches and Hargrove, Republican candidate for Attorney General in this State, has made a gross attack on the Methodist denomination, and here comes this vile paper, misnamed Spirit of the South, for Spirit of the Devil, with a libelous article on one of the leading ministers of the Baptist church. All of this is done for party. It seems that the Republicans are willing to see all of the churches go down for their party.

Mr. Bailey needs no defence and corroboration of his word, but we are informed that Holden has made the same revelations to several Baptist ministers and they should come out with the information they have in their possession.

We regard the above article as a direct attack upon the whole Baptist denomination, and every lover of Christianity.

Mr. Bailey's character and veracity are established, and no man of sense and fair judgment can deny his statements, which are made in a fair and candid manner. It will be remembered that the Senior editor of the South is the Republican candidate for the House of Commons in this county in opposition to Mr. Johnson, who is a Baptist, and in the face of such an article as the above, we ask how can any Christian man of any race or party, and especially any Baptist, support him, when he publishes such an abusive and slanderous article of one of the most prominent and useful ministers of the Baptist churches in the Rev. C. T. Bailey? More, how can any man who lays claim to decency, truth and respectability allow such a vile paper to come into his house. It will do any man's family harm to read such a paper.

We appeal to the people of this county to stop and think before they cast their suffrages for a man to make their laws who possesses such a malicious and vindictive spirit as the above article shows Mr. Terry to possess. Surely no prosperity or happiness, either political or moral, can attend a country whose people are so lost to right and justice, and the Christian religion, as to vote for such a man as the Senior editor of the South. To do it, members of the Baptist denomination, is to put a higher estimate upon party, the Republican party, than upon your church. You will put party before the church. Church and politics should be distinct, but his paper has assailed your church through one of your leading ministers, and it seems it is your duty to sustain your church by voting such men down, whether they be Democrats or Republicans, rich or poor.

(From the Spirit of the South.)

Preacher Bailey—Make Room for Another Blackguard.

It is with a great deal of regret that we come to notice this infamous blackguard. We have a great deal of defiance to the party for the professional gains made by the party in Ohio and the gerrymandered districts in Indiana. But the calculation is based on an estimate of only sixty Democratic majority in the present House, while the official figures, counting the Independents as Democrats or Republicans, according to the party with which they usually vote, is 181 of the former and 111 of the latter, leaving a round Democratic majority of 70 to reduce below a minimum. All the Republican estimates assume their gains in a ratio proportionate to Indiana in other States, and notably in Massachusetts, where the Democracy stand as good a chance to gain a Congressman as to lose one. Pennsylvania and Illinois are also set down for similar wonderful gains, but the attitude of the Germans in the latter State will protect the Democrats from the inroads, which the Republicans hope to make in their delegation. The New York Evening Post gives a very elaborate table of estimates and comparisons, allowing the Democrats their undisputed own grudgingly, and claiming for the other side gains which the results will not confirm, and the best it can show, even on a paper basis, is 145 Republicans to 148 Democrats. This has to include an estimate of gain in Wisconsin, where the returns will show Republican loss, if there is any change. The Democrats, however,

will be of his nomination for an office by the Democratic party. Perhaps his brother, the Rev. J. N. Stallings, of Duplin, will help him. R. A. Shotwell, the convicted K. K. has been rewarded, and now comes the Rev. Bailey, who is one of the most prominent of the party. Let Bailey sink where he belongs, down to the lowest depths of infamy. He will reach it.

MONROE'S PROGNOSTICATION.

With the spirit of prophecy upon him, on opening the Indiana canvass, Senator Morton announced that the loss of Indiana meant the election of Tilden and Hendricks. The Senator was like one of those entranced prophets who see strange visions and utter remarkable truths which they would sometimes like themselves to find false.

The mantle of prophecy had fallen upon the grim Senator, and he uttered a truth which, squirm and argue as figure as they will, still stares his party in the face. The whole number of elector votes is 369; necessary to a choice, 185. The South has 138, taking from these Louisiana and South Carolina, 15 votes, leaves 123. Add to that New York 35, New Jersey 9, Connecticut 6, California 6, and Oregon 3, and we have 182. Indiana, now certain, makes 187, with 12 votes to spare. So that we could afford to lose Mississippi 9, Florida 4, or California 6, and Oregon 3, and 98 as Indiana was a doubtful State the result was uncertain, but there is no shadow of uncertainty about it now. When the tide is all setting that way it is a matter of certainty that no Democratic State will be lost. Morton wore the mantle of a prophet in that sense.

CANADAY AND CIVIL RIGHTS.

Read This, White Men!

He Talks with Negroes!!

In order that the white men of this district may see what manner of man is the Radical candidate for Congress in the District, we publish the conversation of Judge Thomas Settle, who was astonished to hear of such deportment on the part of Judge Settle, and can only say that he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Think of a Judge of the Supreme Court doing this thing. Now, Judge Pearson was perfectly satisfied of Mr. Wiley's conduct, and he is a man of the most refined and polished manners.

Settle as a Bully-Ragger.

A SUPREME COURT JUDGE GRITTING HIS TEETH AND SHAKING HIS FIST IN A PRISONER'S FACE.

A Sample of the Manners of the gubernatorial Candidate who, Drunk on the stump, denounces his Democratic hearers as "Ku-Klux Klans and Infernal Fiends of Hell."

Carrollinas, is this a fit man to control the destinies of our grand Commonwealth?

Will Candidate Settle come to Caswell and shake his clenched fist again almost in ex-Sheriff Wiley's face, and demand to know if his (Wiley) killed Stephens? Mr. Wiley is one of the clearest and most upright citizens in the county—he was born and raised a gentleman, and as evidence of the honesty of his heart, he has been assigned to the office for the more agreeable pursuits of private life. This gentleman was arrested by that infamous wretch and detestable scoundrel Burgin, charged with the murder of Stephens, and was arraigned before the Supreme Court, where there was not a particle of evidence against him, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of itself, satisfied the court, and he was released on his own recognizance.

Mr. Wiley asked and received leave of the court to make a statement, which, of